Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/759,492	PARK, EDWARD HOSUNG			
Examiner	Art Unit			
MATTHEW J. DANIELS	1791			

	MATTHEW J. DANIELS	1791		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Required Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
a) \(\text{The period for reply expires \$\frac{1}{2}\$ months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.	
Extensions of time may be obtained unter 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the pelition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extens have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extens under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; set forth in (a) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final erjection, even if tim may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on, A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appea Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			Cause	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		d of a construction of		
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
7. More purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-43</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hafara as an the date of Elina a Ni	stice of Appealill not	be entered	
 because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a	
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:				
See the enclosed response to arguments.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
13. Other:				
	/Matthew J. Daniels/			
	Primary Examiner, Art U 18 March 2008	Init 1791		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)